

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,935	06/27/2003	Nicholas Grant Rasmussen	20567-023001	6976
20985 FISH & RICHA	7590 11/15/200 ARDSON, PC	7	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1022			JSSELL L	
MINNEAPOLI	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2123	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	·		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	18
	10/608,935	RASMUSSEN ET AL.	V
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Russ Guill	2123	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUI  1.136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) M ute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	October 2007.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 July 2003 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objusted displaying and accepted in abeysection is required if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  nts have been received ir  iority documents have been  au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_ Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 2123

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response to an Amendment filed October 1, 2007. Claims 1 - 4 have been examined. Claims 1 - 4 have been rejected.

## Response to Remarks

- 2. Regarding claim 4 objected to for minor informalities:
  - a. Applicant's arguments and amendments to the claims overcome the objection.
- 3. Regarding claim 4 rejected under 35 USC 112:
  - a. Applicant's arguments and amendments to the claims overcome the rejection.

## Claim Objections

4. Claim 4 is objected to for the following minor informalities: In the last limitation, the claim recites "the computing device", which appears to mean, "the computer".

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC $\S$ 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,935

Art Unit: 2123

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 3

- 7. Claims 1 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris (Mark J. Harris et al., "Physically-Based Visual Simulation on Graphics Hardware", September 2002, Proceedings of the ACM SIGGRAPH/EUROGRAPHICS Conference on Graphics Hardware, pages 109 118 and 160) in view of Gamito (Manuel gamito et al., "Two-dimensional simulation of gaseous phenomena using vortex particles", 1995, Computer Animation and Simulation '95, Springer-Verlag, 14 unnumbered pages).
  - a. The art of Gamito is directed to animation and visualization of turbulent gaseous flows in two dimensions (*first page, abstract*).
  - b. The art of Harris is directed to visual simulation of dynamic phenomena such as convection and cloud formation (page 109, abstract, and page 112, left-side column, first paragraph, "convection and cloud formation simulations").
  - c. The motivation to use the art of Gamito with the art of Harris would have been the benefit recited in Gamito that the system has very low computational costs and can handle systems with large numbers of particles (second page, second paragraph, which is the last paragraph of section 1). Further benefits recited are that the algorithm is fast and easy to implement (section 9 Conclusions, fourth and fifth paragraphs).

Art Unit: 2123

## d. Regarding claim 1:

## e. Harris appears to teach:

- f. Generating a plurality of 2D grids, each 2D grid being independent and having a plurality of grid points (page 114, left-side column, second paragraph, "we implement 3D simulations using a collection of 2D slices to represent the 3D volume").
- g. Associating movement information with each 2D grid point (pages 111 112, section 3.3.2 Directional Forces, "The buoyancy operator uses temperature state T to compute a buoyant velocity at a node", and page 114, section 4.2 Convection; it would have been obvious that velocity was movement information).
- h. Changing the movement information associated with the 2D grid points over a time period that includes discrete intervals (page 112, section 3.5 Implementing the CML Operations, first sentence; it would have been obvious that an iteration is a time step).
- i. Defining a region of 3D space using the 2D grids (page 114, left-side column, second paragraph, "we implement 3D simulations using a collection of 2D slices to represent the 3D volume").
- j. Advecting the plurality of elements through the region of 3D space using the movement information associated with the 2D grids (page 114, left-side column, second paragraph, "we implement 3D simulations using a collection of 2D slices to represent the 3D volume").
- k. Displaying the simulated advection of the plurality of elements ().

#### 1. Harris does not specifically teach:

- m. Advecting the plurality of elements through the region of  $\frac{\partial D}{\partial D}$  space using the movement information associated with the 2D grids.
- n. Displaying the simulated advection of the plurality of elements.

#### o. Gamito appears to teach:

- p. Advecting the plurality of elements through the region of 2D space using the movement information associated with the 2D grids (fifth page, section 4 A Particle-Grid Model, and figure 1).
- q. Displaying the simulated advection of the plurality of elements (last page, colour plate 1, Turbulent smoke stream).

Art Unit: 2123

r. Therefore, as discussed above, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time of invention to use the art of Gamito with the art of Harris to produce the claimed invention.

## s. Regarding claim 2:

- t. Harris appears to teach:
- u. Generating a plurality of 2D grids, each 2D grid being independent and having a plurality of grid points, each grid point having movement information (page 114, left-side column, second paragraph, "we implement 3D simulations using a collection of 2D slices to represent the 3D volume", and pages 111 112, section 3.3.2 Directional Forces, "The buoyancy operator uses temperature state T to compute a buoyant velocity at a node", and page 114, section 4.2 Convection; please note that velocity is movement information);
- v. Defining a region of 3D space using the 2D grids (page 114, left-side column, second paragraph, "we implement 3D simulations using a collection of 2D slices to represent the 3D volume").
- w. Generating-a plurality of elements in the region of 3D space, each element having a location ->
- x. For each element, determining movement information for an element based on the location of the element in the region of 3D space, wherein the determination includes:
- y. Identifying points on the 2D grids that lie on both sides of the element at the location in the region of 3D space;
- z. Determining movement information at the points on the 2D grids (pages 111 112, section 3.3.2 Directional Forces, "The buoyancy operator uses temperature state T to compute a buoyant velocity at a node", and page 114, section 4.2 Convection; it would have been obvious that velocity was movement information);
- aa. Interpolating between the movement information at the points on the 2D grids to determine element movement information for the element at the location in 3D space to simulate advecting of the element; bb. Harris does not specifically teach:

Application/Control Number: 10/608,935

element having a location;

Art Unit: 2123

CC. Generating a plurality of elements in the region of  $\frac{\partial D}{\partial D}$  space, each

Page 6

- dd. For each element, determining movement information for an element based on the location of the element in the region of 3D space, wherein the determination includes:
- ee. Identifying points on the 2D grids that lie on both sides of the element at the location in the region of 3D space;
- ff. Interpolating between the movement information at the points on the 2D grids to determine element movement information for the element at the location in 3D space to simulate advecting of the element;
- gg. Displaying the advecting of the simulated elements;

## hh. Gamito appears to teach:

- ii. Generating a plurality of elements in the region of 3D space, each element having a location (fifth page, section 4 A Particle-Grid Model, and figure 1);
- jj. For each element, determining movement information for an element based on the location of the element in 2D space the region of 3D space, wherein the determination includes:
- kk. Identifying points on the 2D grids that lie on both sides of the element at the location in 2D space the region of 3D space (fifth page, section 4 A Particle-Grid Model, and figure 1);
- Il. Interpolating between the movement information at the points on the 2D grids to determine element movement information for the element at the location in 2D space to simulate advecting of the element (fifth page, section 4 A Particle-Grid Model, and figure 1);
- mm. Displaying the advecting of the simulated elements (last page, colour plate 1, Turbulent smoke stream).

#### nn. Regarding claim 3:

#### oo. Harris appears to teach:

pp. The movement information includes a 2D vector (page 114, section 4.2 Convection; it would have been obvious that velocity was a vector);

Art Unit: 2123

## qq. Regarding claim 4:

#### rr. Harris appears to teach:

ss. A computer to generate a plurality of 2D grids, each 2D grid being independent and having a plurality of grid points, each 2D grid point is associated with movement information (page 114, left-side column, second paragraph, "we implement 3D simulations using a collection of 2D slices to represent the 3D volume", and pages 111 - 112, section 3.3.2 Directional Forces, "The buoyancy operator uses temperature state T to compute a buoyant velocity at a node", and page 114, section 4.2 Convection; please note that velocity is movement information);

tt. Wherein the movement information associated with the 2D grid points of the 2D grids changes over a time period that includes discrete intervals (pages 111 - 112, section 3.3.2 Directional Forces, "The buoyancy operator uses temperature state T to compute a buoyant velocity at a node", and page 114, section 4.2 Convection; it would have been obvious that velocity was movement information; and page 112, section 3.5 Implementing the CML Operations, first sentence; it would have been obvious that an iteration is a time step);

uu. The computing device also defines a region of 3D space using the 2D grids, advects the plurality of elements through the region of 3D space using the movement information associated with the 2D grids and displays the simulated advection of the plurality of elements;

vv. Harris does not specifically teach:

WW. The computing device also defines a region of 3D space using the 2D gride, advects the plurality of elements through the region of 2D space using the movement information associated with the 2D grids (fifth page, section 4 A Particle-Grid Model, and figure 1) and displays the simulated advection of the plurality of elements (last page, colour plate 1, Turbulent smoke stream).

Art Unit: 2123

8. Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the Applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in their entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner. The entire reference is considered to provide disclosure relating to the claimed invention.

## Allowable Subject Matter

9. The indicated allowability of claims 1 – 3 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Harris. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) are above.

Art Unit: 2123

#### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russ Guill whose telephone number is 571-272-7955. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday 9:30 AM – 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Rodriguez can be reached on 571-272-3753. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC2100 Group Receptionist: 571-272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RG

Russ Guill Examiner Art Unit 2123

PAUL RODRIGUEZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100